DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

UNITED STATES	OF AMERICA,)			
	Plaintiff,)			
)			
	v.)	Criminal	No.	2018-39
)			
PAUL GRANDE)			
)			
	Defendant.)			
)			

ATTORNEYS:

Gretchen Shappert, United States Attorney Everard E. Potter, AUSA

United States Attorney's Office St. Thomas, U.S.V.I. For the United States of America,

Adam Nicholas Marinelli

Bolt Nagi PC St. Thomas, U.S.V.I.

Thomas Eoannou

Law Offices of Thomas J. Eoannou Buffalo, NY
For Paul Grande.

ORDER

GÓMEZ, J.

Before the Court is the application of Paul Grande ("Grande") to waive his speedy trial. For the reasons stated herein, the time to try this case is extended up to and including January 1, 2020.

United States v. Grande Criminal No. 2018-39 Order Page 2

While the Speedy Trial Act requires that defendants be tried within seventy days of indictment, the Court specifically finds that extending this period would be in the best interest of justice for several reasons. First, an extension is necessary to allow Grande time to finalize his cooperation and plea negotiations with the Government. Second, Grande made his request with the advice and consent of counsel. Third, without an extension, Grande would be denied reasonable time necessary to explore plea options and prepare for trial.

Consistent with these concerns, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit has recognized that "whether or not a case is 'unusual' or 'complex,' an 'ends of justice' continuance may in appropriate circumstances be granted."

United States v. Fields, 39 F.3d 439, 444 (3d Cir. 1994); United States v. Dota, 33 F.3d 1179(9th Cir. 1994) ("An ends of justice continuance may be justified on grounds that one side needs more time to prepare for trial [even if the] case [i]s not 'complex.'"); see also United States v. Lattany, 982 F.2d 866, 883 (3d Cir. 1992) ("[T]he district court did not abuse its discretion when it delayed the trial to give counsel . . . opportunity to . . . decid[e] upon and prepar[e] an appropriate defense."); United States v. Brooks, 697 F.2d 517, 522 (3d Cir. 1982) (holding there was no abuse of discretion where district

United States v. Grande Criminal No. 2018-39 Order Page 3

court found that multiple count, multiple defendant "case was complex and required additional time for adequate preparation.").

The premises considered; it is hereby

ORDERED that the time beginning from the date of this order granting an extension through January 1, 2020, shall be excluded in computing the time within which the trial for Grande must be initiated pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

S_____Curtis V. Gómez District Judge